

# WASHINGTON STATE SEX OFFENDER RISK LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

NAME:		COUNTY/AGENCY:	:
DATE OF BIRTH OF OFFENDER	<b>:</b>	DSHS/DOC #:	
COMPLETED BY:			
2. Number of felony convictions  (exclude sex/sex related convia. None	ctions):	7. Total number of victims of a. None	0
<ul> <li>b. One or more</li></ul>	1 Score:	<ul> <li>b. Seven to 12 years</li> <li>c. 13 to 15 years; offend older than victim</li> <li>d. 13 to 15 years; offend years older than victir</li> </ul>	2  der not five years1  der five or more  n2
a. 24 or olderb. 20 to 23c. 19 or younger	2	e. 16 or olderAdd a through e:	
5. Use or threat of weapon in sex (most severe across all convide a. None present	tions): 0 offense4	SUBTOTAL 1 – 8:	

#### THIS PAGE WILL BE REMOVED

ATTACHMENT 2 ATTACHMENT 2 POLICY 350.200 POLICY 350.250 Updated 04/2012 Page 2 of 4

9. Other characteristics of <u>all</u> <b>offense(s</b> ), circle all that		14. Number of significant/marital relationships:
	oply:	a. Under the age of 250
_	Victim tied up4	b. One or two after the age of 250
b.		c. Three or four after the age of 251
	4	d. Five or more after the age of 252
C.	Victim transported forcefully to another location	e. None and age 25 or older3
	4	Score:
	Victim torture/mutilated4	
e.	11 7	Complete only 15a. or 15b.
Add a	through e: Score:	15a. (Adult) Employment history pattern:
		a. Full time employed 0
		<ul> <li>Student, retired, disabled/unable work</li> </ul>
10. Le	ngth of sexual offending history: (beginning with first	1
	fense)	c. Part-time, seasonal/unstable or in need
a.		of additional employment2
b.	•	d. Unemployed or history of Unemployment
C.	Five years or more3	4
C.	Score:	Score:
	Score.	Score
		15b. (Juvenile) Early school history pattern
11. Ar	ny felony committed upon <u>previous</u> release	(Grades K through 6 only):
fro	om institution/secure facility/halfway house:	a. Generally satisfactorily0
a.		b. Moderate adjustment problems/multiple
b.	More than 12 months after release2	disciplinary actions and/or suspensions
C.	Seven to 12 months after release4	1
d.	Three to six months after release6	c. Severe adjustment problems/frequent
e.	Less than three months after release8	disciplinary actions and/or one permanent
С.	Score:	
	3core	expulsion2
		d. Multiple expulsions/chronic inability to
40 41	1. 1/1	be maintained in the school setting4
	cohol/drug use pattern	Score:
	2 months prior to most recent conviction):	
a.	3	
b.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	16. Presence of multiple paraphilias, check <u>all</u> that apply:
	functioning2	Fetishism Exhibitionism
C.	Frequent abuse; serious disruption of	Pedophilia Frotteurism/Frottage
	functioning4	Voyeurism Sexual Sadism
	Score:	Zoophilia Sexual Masochism
		Coprophilia Telephone Scatologia
13. P	rior sex offender treatment/programming:	Urophilia Rape NOS
a.		Transvestism Necrophilia
a.	prior to current offense0	Hanovostism Neorophilia
h	•	a None
b.		a. None0
	prior to current offense6	b. One2
	Score:	c. Two or three4
		d. Four or more6
		e. Offender is under 16 years0
		Score:

SUBTOTAL 9 - 16: \_\_\_\_\_

## The remaining items refer to the offender's current status or most recent term of incarceration:

17.	Re	elease environment:
	a.	Relatively stable0
	b.	Moderate disorganization/stress2
	C.	Major disorganization/stress4
		Score:
18.	Αg	e at release from institution/confinement:
	a.	30 or older0
	b.	= · · · = - · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	C.	23 or younger4 Score:
19.	Dis	scipline history while incarcerated (most serious):
	a.	No major discipline reports0
	b.	History of major discipline report not involving
	C.	violence4 History of major discipline report involving
	0.	violence
		8
		Score:
20.	Ch	nemical dependency treatment.
	_	Not recommended/unknown0
	a. b.	Recommended and successfully
	Ο.	Completed2
	c.	Recommended and currently in program,
		or on a waiting list, or recommended but
	_1	insufficient time get into a program2
	d.	Recommended and refused/quit, or deemed non-amenable to treatment4
	e.	Recommended and terminated from
		Program6
		Score:
21.	Se	ex offender treatment:
	a.	Not recommended/unknown0
	b.	Recommended and successfully
		completed2
	C.	Recommended and currently in program,
		or on waiting list, or recommended but insufficient time to get into program2
	d.	Recommended and refused/quit or
	۵.	deemed non-amenable to treatment4
	e.	Recommended and terminated from
		program6
		Score:

#### **PART II: OTHER NOTIFICATION CONSIDERATIONS**

- A. Victim(s) of the <u>non-familial</u> sex conviction(s) were Particularly <u>vulnerable</u> or incapable of resistance due to Physical or mental disability or ill health. **Y / N**
- B. Sex conviction(s) was/were of a predatory nature or the non-familial offender used a position of community trust, (i.e., coach, teacher, group leader, or police officer), or professional relationship to facilitate the commission of non-familial sex offense(s).

  Y / N
- C. Offender continued to act out his/her sexual deviancy during incarceration. Y /  $\bf N$
- D. Adult male offender has a RRASOR score of 4 to 6

Y / N

Rapid Risk Assessment for Sexual Offense
Recidivism (RRASOR)

1.	Prior sex offenses (not includin a. None	0 1 s2
Ac	tual #:	Score:
2.	Age at release (current age): a. More than 25 b. Less than 25	
Ac	tual #:	Score:
3.	Victim gender: a. Only females b. Any males	
		Score:
4.	Relationship to victim: a. Only relatedb. Any non-related	
		Score:
RR	ASOR TOTAL:	

SUBTOTAL 17 - 21: \_\_\_\_\_

#### PART III: DEPARTURE LANGUAGE

The risk level is calculated from aggregating the risk facts and other standard notification considerations is "presumptive" because the Department/Committee may depart from it if special circumstances warrant. The ability to depart is premised on a recognition that an objective instrument, no matter how well designed, will not fully capture the nuances of every case. Not to allow for departures would therefore deprive the Department/Committee of the ability to exercise sound judgment and to apply its expertise to the offender. Of course, were there to be a departure in every case, the objective instrument would be of minimal value. The expectation is that the instrument will result in the proper classification in most cases so that departures will be the exception not the rule.

Scientific literature demonstrates that intrafamilial sex offenders pose a lower risk of recidivism than other types of sex offenders. Additionally, the group of persons to whom they pose a risk upon release (judged by the identity of their past victim (s) ) is very small compared with other offenders. Therefore, in order to effectuate the goal of the notification statute, offenders who are strictly intrafamilial offenders will presumptively be classified as Risk Level I.

Generally, the Department/Committee may not depart from the presumptive risk level unless it concludes that there exists an aggravating or mitigating factor of a kind, or to a degree, not otherwise adequately taken into account by the guidelines. Circumstances that may warrant a departure cannot by their nature be comprehensively listed in advance. The departure must be justified in writing and have the support of the majority of Department/Committee members.

Subtotals 1 - 6	
Subtotals 9 – 16:	
Subtotals 17 – 21:	
Grand Total =	
Total Number of Notification Considerations:	
Level 1 = Assessment score 46 or less and no notification considerations.  Level 2 = Assessment score 46 or less and/or 1 - 2 notification considerations.  Level 3 = Assessment score 46 or less and/or 3 or 4 notification considerations, or assessment	score 47 or higher.
RISK LEVEL:	_
DEPARTURE JUSTIFICATION:	
ESR CHAIR OR DESIGNATED AGENCY STAFF:	
AGENCY WITH JURISDICTION:	

### FINAL RISK LEVEL (circle one only): I, II, III

Note: If the Risk Level by the ESRC is different from the final Risk Level, the departure justification of this form must be completed.

ATTACHMENT 2 Updated 04/2012 Page 5 of 4 ATTACHMENT 2